Our Ref: PTCE/13/2207

Warwickshire County Council
Planning and Development
PO Box 43, Shire Hall,
Warwick.
CV34 4SX

Attn: Mr T Evans

2nd February 2016

Dear Tom

Re: Supplementary Statement – Application to Extend the Life of Brinklow Quarry and to Enlarge the Quarry Area

Further to our discussions, I have now had the opportunity to discuss the issue of clay export and the import of restoration material up to a maximum of 100,000t per annum individually that formed part of the above application. This subject was included to ensure that our application was transparent and fully represented all possible eventualities that may materialise over the course of the projected thirty-year lifespan of the proposal.

We acknowledge that such tonnages may be unrepresentative of the likely demand and give rise to undue concerns as the total number of vehicle movements could, at the maximum, have approached the total of 200 vehicle movements per day as stipulated in the terms of our Section 106 Agreement.

Accordingly, we seek to revise our Supporting Statement to allow clay exports of no more than 30,000 tonnes per annum with a reciprocal arrangement for a similar tonnage of imported restoration material to ensure that the proposed restoration would not be compromised. It is considered that this would allow the Applicant to service the requirement for the Quarry’s low-permeability clay to supply small engineering projects such as flood defence, road construction and landfill liner requirements without producing significant additional impact.

Our calculations for vehicle movements associated with the export of 30,000 tonnes of clay and the import of restoration material indicate, at the maximum tonnage, approximately 24 vehicle movements per day could be generated. In addition, those resulting from the current rate of sand and gravel production (200,000 tonnes per annum) amount to approximately 80 vehicle
movements per day, leaving 96 vehicle movements per day to provide for the Quarry's associated developments.

It is clear that should permission be granted at this revised level, total vehicle movements would remain comfortably within the limit set by the legal agreement and that accordingly, no significant additional impact would result.

I look forward to seeing you on Friday, 5th February, when we can discuss the Quarry operation and the Application at the Liaison Committee meeting.

Yours sincerely

Paul Taylor
BSc (Hons) CEnv MCIWM
Director